

Blog | Data, Privacy & Cybersecurity | Continue | Con

July 2022

How Is Geolocation Information Defined?

Several modern state data privacy statutes refer to precise geolocation information as a "sensitive" category of personal information. What constitutes precise geolocation information differs slightly between and among states. The following table provides a side-by-side comparison of the how the states have defined the term.

Elements of Precise Geolocation Data	California CCPA 2022 ¹	California CPRA 2023 ²	Colorado CPA 2023	Connecticut CTDPA 2023 ³	Utah UCPA 2023 ⁴	Virginia VCDPA ⁵
Definition of precise geolocation provided	x 6	√ 7	×	√8	√9	√ 10

¹ Cal. Civ. Code 1798.150(a)(1) (West 2021) (incorporating by reference data fields referred to in Cal. Civ. Code 1798.81.5(d)(1)(A).

² Cal. Civ. Code 1798.140(ae)(1), (2) (West 2021).

³ Connecticut Substitute Bill No. 6, § 1(27).

⁴ Utah Code 13-61-101(32)(a).

⁵Va. Code 59.1-571.

⁶ While the CCPA referred to geolocation data as an enumerated category of personal information, the term was not defined.

⁷ Cal. Civ. Code §1798.140(w) (West 2021).

⁸ Conn. Substitute. Bill No. 6, § 1(19).

⁹ Utah Code § 13-61-101(33)(a), (b) (2022).

¹⁰ Va. Code 59.1-571.

Elements of	California	California	Colorado	Connecticut	Utah	Virginia
Precise	CCPA	CPRA 2023 ²	CPA 2023	CTDPA	UCPA	VCDPA5
Geolocation Data	2022 ¹	011111019	0111_0_0	20233	20234	, 0211
Information	N/A	√ 11	N/A	√12	√13	√ 14
must be derived	,		,			
from a device or						
other technology						
(i.e., consumer						
manually						
submitted						
location						
information						
excluded)	27/1	0 0	27/1	C .		C .
Degree of	N/A	1,850-foot	N/A	1,750 foot	1,750 foot	1,750 foot
accuracy and		radius or less15		radius or	radius or	radius or
precision	DT / A	×	DT / A	less ¹⁶	less¹7 ✓20	less¹8 √21
Exception for "content of	N/A	×	N/A	V 19	V 20	√ 21
communications"						
(i.e., such data						
falls outside						
scope of						
definition)						
Exception for	N/A	×	N/A	√22	√23	√24
"advanced utility	,		,			
metering" (i.e.,						
such data falls						
outside scope of						
definition)						
Information	N/A	√25	N/A	x 26	x 27	x 28
must be used or						
intended to be						
used to locate a						
consumer.						

¹¹ Cal. Civ. Code §1798.140(w) (West 2021).

¹² Conn. Substitute. Bill No. 6, § 1(19).

¹³ Utah Code § 13-61-101(33)(a), (b) (2022).

¹⁴ Va. Code 59.1-571.

¹⁵ Cal. Civ. Code §1798.140(w) (West 2021).

¹⁶ Conn. Substitute. Bill No. 6, § 1(19).

¹⁷ Utah Code § 13-61-101(33)(a), (b) (2022).

¹⁸ Va. Code 59.1-571.

¹⁹ Conn. Substitute. Bill No. 6, § 1(19).

²⁰ Utah Code § 13-61-101(33)(a), (b) (2022).

²¹ Va. Code 59.1-571.

²² Conn. Substitute. Bill No. 6, § 1(19).

²³ Utah Code § 13-61-101(33)(a), (b) (2022).

²⁴ Va. Code 59.1-571.

²⁵ Cal. Civ. Code §1798.140(w) (West 2021).

²⁶ There is no intentionality requirement within Connecticut. If the information "identifies the specific location" of a natural person, it constitutes precise geolocation data regardless of whether it is used or intended to be used to locate the person.

²⁷ There is no intentionality requirement within Utah. If the information "identifies the specific location" of a natural person, it constitutes precise geolocation data regardless of whether it is used or intended to be used to locate the person.

²⁸ Va. Code 59.1-571 (there is no intentionality requirement within Virginia. If the information "identifies the specific location" of a natural person, it constitutes precise geolocation data regardless of whether it is used or intended to be used to locate the person.



Key:

- Statute defines element of precise geolocation information.
- * Statute does not define element of precise geolocation information.

Author

This GT Data Privacy Dish blog post was prepared by:

• David A. Zetoony | +1 303.685.7425 | zetoonyd@gtlaw.com

Albany. Amsterdam. Atlanta. Austin. Boston. Charlotte. Chicago. Dallas. Delaware. Denver. Fort Lauderdale. Germany.¬ Houston. Las Vegas. London.* Long Island. Los Angeles. Mexico City.+ Miami. Milan.» Minneapolis. New Jersey. New York. Northern Virginia. Orange County. Orlando. Philadelphia. Phoenix. Portland. Sacramento. Salt Lake City. San Francisco. Seoul.∞ Shanghai. Silicon Valley. Tallahassee. Tampa. Tel Aviv.^ Tokyo.∗ Warsaw.∼ Washington, D.C.. West Palm Beach. Westchester County.

This Greenberg Traurig Alert is issued for informational purposes only and is not intended to be construed or used as general legal advice nor as a solicitation of any type. Please contact the author(s) or your Greenberg Traurig contact if you have questions regarding the currency of this information. The hiring of a lawyer is an important decision. Before you decide, ask for written information about the lawyer's legal qualifications and experience. Greenberg Traurig is a service mark and trade name of Greenberg Traurig, LLP and Greenberg Traurig, P.A. ¬Greenberg Traurig's Berlin office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Germany, an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, Sec., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig's Mexico City office is operated by Greenberg Traurig, Sec., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. »Greenberg Traurig's Milan office is operated by Greenberg Traurig Santa Maria, an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. «Operates as Greenberg Traurig LLP Foreign Legal Consultant Office. Agreenberg Traurig's Tel Aviv office is a branch of Greenberg Traurig, P.A., Florida, USA. "Greenberg Traurig's Tokyo Office is operated by GT Tokyo Horitsu Jimusho and Greenberg Traurig Gaikokuhojimubengoshi Jimusho, affiliates of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. ~Greenberg Traurig's Warsaw office is operated by GREENBERG TRAURIG Nowakowska-Zimoch Wysokiński sp.k., an affiliate of Greenberg Traurig, P.A. and Greenberg Traurig, LLP. Certain partners in GREENBERG TRAURIG Nowakowska-Zimoch Wysokiński sp.k. are also shareholders in Greenberg Traurig, P.A. Images in this advertisement do not depict Greenberg Traurig attorneys, clients, staff or facilities. No aspect of this advertisement has been approved by the Supreme Court of New Jersey. ©2022 Greenberg Traurig, LLP. All rights reserved.

© 2022 Greenberg Traurig, LLP www.gtlaw.com | 3